

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/804,664	03/12/2001	Jill E. Barad	MAT 3C7B	2442
23581	7590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C. 200 PACIFIC BUILDING			CEGIELNIK, URSZULA M	
520 SW YAMHILL STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND, OR 97204			3711	<u></u>

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 09/804,664

Filing Date: March 12, 2001 Appellant(s): BARAD ET AL. **MAILED** 

FEB 1 3 2006

**Group 3700** 

Charles H. DeVoe For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

Art Unit: 3711

This is in response to the appeal brief filed October 31, 2005 appealing from the Office action mailed February 9, 2005.

## (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

## (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

## (3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

## (4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

# (5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

# (6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

# (7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

# (8) Evidence Relied Upon

3,144,932 ZERBO 08-1964

## (9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

The grounds of rejection as stated in the Final Rejection (filed February 9, 2005), and are incorporated herein in their entirety by reference.

#### (10) Response to Argument

The present invention relates to personalized toys and methods for marketing, manufacturing and delivering personalized toys.

Zerbo discloses a package (container 10) having an aperture (window opening 12); a personalized toy (flowers F, for example, which can be used for amusement or diversion) (flowers F, for example, which can be used for amusement or diversion) in the package (container 10); and printed material (18), separate from the toy (flowers F, for example, which can be used for amusement or diversion) and further including customer-defined identifying material (printed matter which is directed to configuration of the toy desired by the person that has the package), supported on the package (container 10) so that the identifying material (printed matter which is directed to configuration of the toy desired by the person that has the package) is visible through the aperture (window opening 12) of the package (container 10) and where the identifying material includes a customer-defined intended recipient (the intended recipient being the person or purchases or receives the package in the particular desired configuration); a flap (cover 11), separate from the toy (flowers F, for example, which can be used for amusement or diversion) and having an inside and an outside,

Application/Control Number: 09/804,664

Art Unit: 3711

where the inside of the flap (cover 11) faces the personalized toy; an aperture (window opening 12) in the flap (cover 11); and a customer-defined identifying material separate from the flap (cover 11) and supported on the inside of the flap (cover 11), wherein the customer-defined identifying material includes a customer-defined intended recipient (the intended recipient being the person or purchases or receives the package in the particular desired configuration);; the identifying material includes a customer-defined toy name (col. 3, lines 4-12); a toy-support card to display the personalized toy (flowers F, for example, which can be used for amusement or diversion) within the package (container 10), the customer-defined identifying material has a front side and a back side, wherein the front side of the material is visible through the aperture (window opening 12) on the outside of the flap (cover 11) and the back side is visible on the inside of the flap (cover 11); the inside of the flap (cover 11) includes tabs (pocket 15) configured to support the identifying material (18) on the inside of the flap (cover 11); the flap (cover 11) is adapted to be opened to reveal the personal toy (flowers F, for example, which can be used for amusement or diversion); the personalized toy (flowers F. for example, which can be used for amusement or diversion) is visible through the aperture (window opening 12) when the identifying material (18) is removed; the visible portion of the identifying material (18) blocks the aperture (window opening 12) (see Figure 2), preventing the viewing of the personalized toy (flowers F, for example, which can be used for amusement or diversion) from the exterior of the package (container 10); a toy-support card (16) to display the personalized toy within the package (container 10).

Application/Control Number: 09/804,664

Art Unit: 3711

Applicant argues that Zerbo does not disclose a "toy". The Applicant states that Zerbo does not mention the word "toy" in the disclosure nor discusses related concepts such as "play", "child", "fun", "game".

The Examiner submits that Zerbo teaches a toy in the broadest reasonable interpretation of the word to mean as anything that can be used for amusement or diversion. For example, it is well known that an inquisitive toddler would consider any tangible object to be a toy.

Applicant further argues that Zerbo fails to disclose a "personalized toy".

Again, the Examiner submits that broadly interpreting the terms "personalized toy", could be interpreted as an object for amusement or diversion that is directed toward a particular individual (in this case, the person who receives the packaged artificial flowers).

Applicant further contends that Zerbo does not disclose "customer-defined identifying material".

As discussed *supra*, Zerbo does show "customer-defined identifying material" with the identification of the toy name (flower) (col. 3, lines 4-12).

Applicant maintains that Zerbo does not disclose a "customer-defined intended recipient". The limitation "customer-defined intended recipient" does not provide any structural features to distinguish it over Zerbo. This is merely the printed matter on the identifying material. Furthermore, if this package were mailed out to a buyer or mailed out as a gift, it would be entirely obvious to provide the customer-defined intended recipient on the identifying material.

Application/Control Number: 09/804,664

Art Unit: 3711

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

# (11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

Conferees:

Eugene L. Kim Kien T. Nguyen

Urszula M. Čegielnik